arXiv:1512.09028v1 [math.AG] 30 Dec 2015

THE CLASSIFICATION OF REAL SINGULARITIES USING SINGULAR PART III: UNIMODAL SINGULARITIES OF CORANK 2

JANKO BOEHM, MAGDALEEN S. MARAIS, AND ANDREAS STEENPASS

Abstract. We present a classification algorithm for isolated hypersurface singularities of corank 2 and modality 1 over the real numbers. For a singularity given by a polynomial over the rationals, the algorithm determines its right equivalence class by specifying all representatives in Arnold's list of normal forms (Arnold et al., 1985) belonging to this class, and the corresponding values of the moduli parameter.

We discuss how to computationally realize the individual steps of the algorithm for all singularities in consideration, and give explicit examples. The algorithm is implemented in the Singular library `realclassify.lib`.

1. Introduction

In the ground breaking work on singularities by Arnold (1974), all isolated hypersurface singularities over the complex numbers up to modality 2 have been classified. Arnold has also given fundamental theorems on the classification of real singularities up to modality 1, which has been made explicit in Arnold et al. (1985). For his classification, Arnold considers stable equivalence of functions. Two function germs are stably equivalent if they are right equivalent after the direct addition of a non-degenerate quadratic form. Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Two function germs $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}^2 \subset \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$, where $\mathfrak{m} = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$, are right equivalent if there is a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ such that $\phi(f) = g$. Arnold presents a finite list of normal forms, each of which is a family of polynomial equations with moduli parameters such that each equivalence class contains at least one, but finitely many, elements of these families. We refer to such elements as normal form equations. Over the complex numbers, Arnold gives an algorithmic determinator which determines a normal form for a given power series.

Considering singularities over the reals, an algorithm for computing the degenerate part and the inertia index is given in Marais and Steenpaß (2015a). For singularities of modality 0, an algorithm to determine the corresponding normal form is developed in the same article.

In the case of singularities of modality 1, it turns out that an equivalence class may contain several normal form equations, as specified by Arnold. In Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), a complete classification of singularities up to corank 2 is given, in the sense that all complex and real equivalences between complex, respectively real, normal form equations are determined.

In this paper, we develop a determinator for real singularities of modality 1 and corank 2, which computes, for a given input polynomial, all normal form equations in Arnold's list (see Table 1). In this case, the complex types correspond to real main types, which split up into real subtypes by modifying the signs of the terms in the normal form of the real main type, except in the case $Y_{r,r}$. This complex normal form splits up into the real main types $\widetilde{Y}_r$ and $Y_{r,r}$.

In fact, we describe an algorithm which computes, for an arbitrary input polynomial $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$, the following data: all real singularity subtypes of f as well as all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f with the respective parameter given as the unique root of a minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ in a specified interval. The algorithm is implemented in the library `realclassify.lib` for the computer algebra system Singular (Decker et al., 2015).

Key words and phrases. hypersurface singularities, algorithmic classification, real algebraic geometry.

This research was supported by the African Institute for Mathematical Sciences, grant KIC14081491583 of the National Research Foundation (NRF), and grants awarded by the University of Pretoria, Wolfram Decker, and Gert-Martin Greuel. We are thankful to all of them.

This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we introduce the fundamental definitions and give the required background on the classification of singularities. In Section 3, we develop a general algorithm for the classification of real singularities of modality 1 and corank 2. Although the general algorithm is applicable to all cases under consideration, some steps do not have a straight-forward implementation for certain types of singularities. Therefore, in the subsequent sections, we give explicit computational realizations for all steps of the algorithm. The exceptional cases ($W_{12}, W_{13}, Z_{11}, Z_{12}, Z_{13}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{14}$) follow the general algorithm in a direct way. In Section 4, as an example, we give an explicit algorithm for the case E_{14}. Section 5 handles the parabolic cases (X_9, J_{10}). Section 6 deals with the hyperbolic cases (X_{9+k}, J_{10+k}, $Y_{r,s}$, $\widetilde{Y}_r$). The cases X_{9+k} and J_{10+k} follow the general algorithm in a straight-forward manner, whereas the cases $Y_{r,s}$ and $\widetilde{Y}_r$ require some attention to detail.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Gert-Martin Greuel, and Gerhard Pfister for many fruitful discussions.

2. Definitions and Preliminary Results

In this section we give some basic definitions and results, as well as some notation that will be used throughout the paper.

We only consider classes of germs with respect to right equivalence.

Definition 1. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field. Two power series $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ are called* **right equivalent***, denoted by $f \overset{\mathbb{K}}{\sim} g$, if there exists a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ such that $\phi(f) = g$. If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, we also write $\sim$ to denote $\overset{\mathbb{R}}{\sim}$.*

Using this equivalence relation, Arnold (1974) gives the following formal definition of a normal form. From now on, let $\mathbb{K}$ be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ be a union of equivalence classes with respect to the relation $\overset{\mathbb{K}}{\sim}$. A* **normal form** *for K is given by a smooth map*

$$\Phi : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \subset \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$$

of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-linear space B into the space of polynomials for which the following three conditions hold:

(1) $\Phi(B)$ intersects all equivalence classes of K;
(2) the inverse image in B of each equivalence class is finite;
(3) $\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(B) \setminus K)$ is contained in a proper hypersurface in B.

We call the elements of the image of Φ **normal form equations***.*

Remark 3. There is a type T associated to each of the corank 2 real normal forms of modality 0 and 1 (see Table 1), and we denote the corresponding normal form by $\mathrm{NF}(T)$. Depending on whether T is a real or a complex type, we consider $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. For $b \in \mathrm{par}(\mathrm{NF}(T)) := \Phi^{-1}(K)$ with K as in Definition 2, we write $\mathrm{NF}(T)(b) = \Phi(b)$ for the corresponding normal form equation.

We briefly introduce the concepts of weighted jets, filtrations, Newton polygons, and permissable chains. For background regarding the definitions in this section, we refer to Arnold (1974) and de Jong and Pfister (2000).

Remark 4. Let $w = (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a weight on the variables $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We define the weighted degree on $\mathrm{Mon}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ by $w\text{-deg}(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{s_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i s_i$. If the weight on all variables is 1, we call the weighted degree of a monomial m the standard degree of m and write $\deg(m)$ instead of $w\text{-deg}(m)$.

Definition 5. *Let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_s) \in (\mathbb{N}^n)^s$ be a finite family of weights on the variables $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. For any monomial (or term t) $m \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we define the* **piecewise weight** *with respect to w as*

$$w\text{-deg}(m) \quad := \quad \min_{i=1,\dots,s} w_i\text{-deg}(m).$$

A polynomial is called **piecewise quasihomogeneous** *of degree d with respect to w if w-deg$(t) = d$ for any term t of f. If $s = 1$, we call a piecewise quasihomogeneous function* **quasihomogeneous**.

Definition 6. *Let w be a (piecewise) weight on* $\mathrm{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

(1) Let $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i$ be the decomposition of $f \in \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ into weighted homogeneous parts f_i of w-degree i. We denote the **weighted j-jet** *of f by*

$$w\text{-jet}(f, j) := \sum_{i=0}^{j} f_i \,.$$

(2) A power series in $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ has **filtration** *$d \in \mathbb{N}$ if all its monomials are of weighted degree d or higher. The power series of filtration d form a vector space*

$$E_d^w \subset \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \,.$$

(3) A power series f in $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ is called (piecewise) **semi-quasihomogeneous** *if f has filtration $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with respect to w and if the (piecewise) quasihomogeneous function w-jet(f, d) is non-degenerate, that is, if its Milnor number is finite. We call w-jet(f, d) the (piecewise)* **quasihomogeneous part** *of f.*

(4) A power series $f \in \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ is weighted k-determined with respect to the weight w if

$$f \sim w\text{-jet}(f, k) + g \qquad \text{for all } g \in E_{k+1}^w.$$

We define the weighted determinacy of f as the minimum number k such that f is k-determined.

Remark 7. (1) If for a given type T, w-jet$(\mathrm{NF}(T)(b), j)$ is independent of $b \in \mathrm{par}(\mathrm{NF}(T))$, we denote it by w-jet(T, j).

(2) Note that $d < d'$ implies $E_{d'}^w \subseteq E_d^w$. Since the product $E_{d'}^w \cdot E_d^w$ has filtration $d' + d$, it follows that E_d^w is an ideal in the ring of power series.

(3) If the weight of each variable is 1, we write E_d and jet(f, j) instead of E_d^w and w-jet(f, j), respectively.

There are also similar concepts for coordinate transformations:

Definition 8. *Let ϕ be a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra automorphism of $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ and let w be a (piecewise) weight on* $\mathrm{Mon}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

(1) For $j > 0$ we define the w-jet(ϕ, j), denoted by ϕ_j^w, to be the automorphism given by

$$\phi_j^w(x_i) := w\text{-jet}(\phi(x_i), w\text{-deg}(x_i) + j) \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n \,.$$

If the weight of each variable is 1, that is, $w = (1, \dots, 1)$, we simply write ϕ_j for ϕ_j^w.

(2) ϕ has filtration d if, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(\phi - \mathrm{id}) E_\lambda^w \subset E_{\lambda + d}^w \,.$$

Remark 9. Note that $\phi_0(x_i) = \mathrm{jet}(\phi(x_i), 1)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Furthermore note that ϕ_0^w has filtration ≤ 0 and that ϕ_j^w has filtration j if $j > 0$ and $\phi_{j-1}^w = \mathrm{id}$.

For the next definition we restrict ourselves to the power series ring $\mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$ in two variables.

Definition 10. *Let $f = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j}x^iy^j \in \mathbb{K}[[x,y]]$, let T be a corank 2 singularity type and $0 \neq b \in \mathrm{par}(\mathrm{NF}(T))$. We call*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{supp}(f) &:= \{x^iy^j \mid a_{i,j} \neq 0\} \text{ and}\\ \mathrm{supp}(T) &:= \mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{NF}(T)(b))\end{aligned}$$

the support of f and $\mathrm{NF}(T)$, respectively. We write $\mathrm{supp}(T,j) := \mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{jet}(\mathrm{NF}(T)(b),j))$ for $j \geq 0$. Let

$$\Gamma_+(T) := \bigcup_{x^iy^j \in \mathrm{supp}(T)} ((i,j) + \mathbb{R}^2_+)$$

and let $\Gamma(T)$ be the boundary of the convex hull of $\Gamma_+(T)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then:

1. *$\Gamma(T)$ is called the* **Newton polygon** *of $\mathrm{NF}(T)$.*
2. *The compact segments of $\Gamma(T)$ are called* **faces**.
3. *Let Δ be a face of $\Gamma(T)$. Then Δ induces a weight w on $\mathrm{Mon}(x,y)$ in the following way: If Δ has slope $-\frac{w_x}{w_y}$, in lowest terms, and $w_x, w_y > 0$, we set $w\text{-deg}(x) = w_x$ and $w\text{-deg}(y) = w_y$.*
4. *If $w_1, \ldots, w_s$ are the weights associated to the faces of $\Gamma(T)$, ordered by increasing slope, there are unique minimal integers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \geq 1$ such that the piecewise weight associated to $w(T) = (\lambda_1 w_1, \ldots, \lambda_s w_s)$ by Definition 5 is constant on $\Gamma(T)$. We denote this constant by $d(T)$.*
5. *A monomial m lies strictly underneath, on or above $\Gamma(T)$ if, respectively, the $w(T)$-degree of m is less than, equal to or greater than $d(T)$.*

Notation 11. Given $f \in \mathbb{K}[[x,y]]$ and $m \in \mathrm{Mon}(x,y)$, we write $\mathrm{coeff}(f,m)$ for the coefficient of m in f.

Definition 12. *Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ be piecewise quasihomogeneous such that each partial derivative of f is a binomial.*

1. *The convex hull of the exponent vectors of the monomials of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ is called the* **fundamental x-segment**, *similarly for $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$.*
2. *A translate of a fundamental segment by an integral vector with non-negative components is called a* **permissable segment**.
3. *Two permissable segments are said to be* **joined** *if they have a common end.*
4. *A* **permissable chain** *is a sequence of permissable segments such that each consecutive two of them are joined.*

See (Arnold, 1974, Example 9.6) for an example.

Remark 13. (1) The assumptions of Definition 12 are satisfied for the (piecewise) quasihomogeneous parts of all corank 2 singularities of modality 0 and 1.

(2) Suppose f is a corank 2 singularity of modality 1 whose Newton polygon has two faces. Then a permissable x-segment is not parallel to any permissable y-segment, that is, they are either disjoint, or intersect in a single point.

Definition 14. *The* **Jacobian ideal** $\mathrm{Jac}(f) \subset \mathbb{K}[[x,y]]$ *of f is generated by the partial derivatives of f. The* **local algebra** *$Q(f)$ is the residue class ring of the Jacobian ideal of f.*

Proposition 15 (Arnold (1974), Proposition 9.7)**.** *If the exponent of a monomial lies in an infinite permissable chain, then it lies in the Jacobian ideal of f.*

In (Arnold, 1974, Section 7) the following theorem is used for the classification of singularities of corank 2.

Theorem 16. *Let f be a semi-quasihomogeneous function with quasihomogeneous part f_0 of weighted w-degree d_w, and let $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ be the elements of weighted degree greater than d in a*

monomial vectorspace basis for the local algebra of f_0. Then f is equivalent to a function of the form $f_0 + \sum c_k e_k$. We call $e_1, \ldots, e_s$ a **system** *of the local algebra of f.*

Arnold proved Theorem 16 by iteratively applying the following lemma.

Lemma 17. *Let f_0 be a quasihomogeneous function of weighted w-degree d_w and let $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ be the monomials of a given degree $d' > d_w$ in a system of the local algebra of f_0. Then, for every series of the form $f_0 + f_1$, where the filtration of f_1 is greater than d_w, we have*

$$f_0 + f_1 \sim f_0 + f_1',$$

where the terms in f_1' of degree less than d' are the same as in f_1, and the part of degree d' can be written as $c_1 e_1 + \cdots + c_r e_r$, $c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let $g(\mathbf{x})$ denote the sum of the terms of degree d' in f_1. There exists a decomposition of g of the form

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x} v_i(\mathbf{x}) + c_1 e_1 + \cdots + c_r e_r,$$

since $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ is a monomial vectorspace basis for the local algebra of f_0. Applying the transformation defined by

$$x_i \mapsto x_i - v_i(\mathbf{x})$$

to f, we transform f to

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}) + (f_1(\mathbf{x}) + (c_1 e_1(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + c_r e_r(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})) + R(\mathbf{x}),$$

where the filtration of R is greater than d'. □

Remark 18. A system of a local algebra is in general not unique. For his lists of quasihomogeneous normal forms of hypersurface singularities, Arnold has chosen a specific system for the local algebra in each case. In the rest of the paper, we call these systems the **Arnold systems**.

The following result is proved for $a \geq 4$, $b \geq 5$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ by Arnold (1974). The same proof is also valid for $a = 3$, $b \geq 7$. Moreover the proof is also valid for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$. Hence we obtain the following more general result.

Lemma 19. *Let $\mathbb{K}$ be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{K}[[x, y]]$ is a non-degenerate power series with principal part $f_0 = x^a + \lambda x^2 y^2 + y^b$, where $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\Gamma(f_0)$ has two faces. Then*

$$f \sim f_0.$$

Remark 20. Note that it follows from Lemma 17 and Lemma 19 that all hypersurface singularities of corank 2 are finitely weighted determined. Moreover, we explicitely obtain the weighted determinacy for every such singularity.

We proof the following result in addition to (Marais and Steenpaß, 2015a, Lemma 9) which has similar results for linear factorizations.

Lemma 21. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is homogeneous and factorizes as $g_1^n(g_2)$ over $\mathbb{R}$, where g_1 is a polynomial of degree 1 and g_2 is a polynomial of degree 2 that does not have a multiple root over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $f = a g_1'^n g_2'$, where g_1' is a polynomial of degree 1 over $\mathbb{Q}$, g_2 is a polynomial of degree 2 over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Let $f = (a_1 x + a_2 y)^n (a_3 x^2 + a_4 xy + a_5 y^2)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the coefficient of x^{n+2} in f is a rational number, it follows that

$$(x + \frac{a_2}{a_1} y)^n (x^2 + \frac{a_4}{a_3} xy + \frac{a_5}{a_3} y^2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y].$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a perfect field, $(x + \frac{a_2}{a_1} y)(x^2 + \frac{a_4}{a_3} xy + \frac{a_5}{a_3} y^2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$. Hence $(x + \frac{a_2}{a_1} y)^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ which implies that $x + \frac{a_2}{a_1} y \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$. Therefore $f = a g_1'^n g_2'$, where $g_1' = x + \frac{a_2}{a_1} y$, $g_2' = x^2 + \frac{a_4}{a_3} xy + \frac{a_5}{a_3} y^2$ and $a = a_1^n a_3$. □

TABLE 1. Normal forms of singularities of modality 1 and corank 2 as given in Arnold et al. (1985)

		Complex normal form	Normal forms of real subtypes	Restrictions
Parabolic	X_9	$x^4+ax^2y^2+y^4$	$+x^4+ax^2y^2+y^4\ (X_9^{++})$	$a^2\neq+4^\dagger$
			$-x^4+ax^2y^2-y^4\ (X_9^{--})$	
			$+x^4+ax^2y^2-y^4\ (X_9^{+-})$	$a^2\neq-4^\dagger$
			$-x^4+ax^2y^2+y^4\ (X_9^{-+})$	
	J_{10}	$x^3+ax^2y^2+xy^4$	$x^3+ax^2y^2+xy^4\ (J_{10}^{+})$	$a^2\neq+4^\dagger$
			$x^3+ax^2y^2-xy^4\ (J_{10}^{-})$	$a^2\neq-4^\dagger$
Hyperbolic	J_{10+k}	$x^3+x^2y^2+ay^{6+k}$	$x^3+x^2y^2+ay^{6+k}\ (J_{10+k}^{+})$	$a\neq0,\ k>0$
			$x^3-x^2y^2+ay^{6+k}\ (J_{10+k}^{-})$	
	X_{9+k}	$x^4+x^2y^2+ay^{4+k}$	$+x^4+x^2y^2+ay^{4+k}\ (X_{9+k}^{++})$	$a\neq0,\ k>0$
			$-x^4-x^2y^2+ay^{4+k}\ (X_{9+k}^{--})$	
			$+x^4-x^2y^2+ay^{4+k}\ (X_{9+k}^{+-})$	
			$-x^4+x^2y^2+ay^{4+k}\ (X_{9+k}^{-+})$	
	$Y_{r,s}$	$x^2y^2+x^r+ay^s$	$+x^2y^2+x^r+ay^s\ (Y_{r,s}^{++})$	$a\neq0,\ r,s>4$
			$-x^2y^2-x^r+ay^s\ (Y_{r,s}^{--})$	
			$+x^2y^2-x^r+ay^s\ (Y_{r,s}^{+-})$	
			$-x^2y^2+x^r+ay^s\ (Y_{r,s}^{-+})$	
	$\widetilde{Y}_r$	$(x^2+y^2)^2+ax^r$	$+(x^2+y^2)^2+ax^r\ (\widetilde{Y}_r^{+})$	$a\neq0,\ r>4$
			$-(x^2+y^2)^2+ax^r\ (\widetilde{Y}_r^{-})$	
Exceptional	E_{12}	$x^3+y^7+axy^5$	$x^3+y^7+axy^5$	-
	E_{13}	$x^3+xy^5+ay^8$	$x^3+xy^5+ay^8$	-
	E_{14}	$x^3+y^8+axy^6$	$x^3+y^8+axy^6\ (E_{14}^{+})$	-
			$x^3-y^8+axy^6\ (E_{14}^{-})$	
	Z_{11}	$x^3y+y^5+axy^4$	$x^3y+y^5+axy^4$	-
	Z_{12}	$x^3y+xy^4+ax^2y^3$	$x^3y+xy^4+ax^2y^3$	-
	Z_{13}	$x^3y+y^6+axy^5$	$x^3y+y^6+axy^5\ (Z_{13}^{+})$	-
			$x^3y-y^6+axy^5\ (Z_{13}^{-})$	
	W_{12}	$x^4+y^5+ax^2y^3$	$+x^4+y^5+ax^2y^3\ (W_{12}^{+})$	-
			$-x^4+y^5+ax^2y^3\ (W_{12}^{-})$	
	W_{13}	$x^4+xy^4+ay^6$	$+x^4+xy^4+ay^6\ (W_{13}^{+})$	-
			$-x^4+xy^4+ay^6\ (W_{13}^{-})$	

†Note that the restriction $a^2\neq4$ applies to the normal forms of the real subtypes X_9^{++}, X_9^{--}, and J_{10}^{+} as well as to the normal forms of the complex types X_9 and J_{10} while the restriction $a^2\neq-4$ applies to the normal forms of the real subtypes X_9^{+-}, X_9^{-+}, and J_{10}^{-} if we allow complex parameters.

3. General Classification Algorithm

In this section we outline the general structure of an algorithm (see Algorithm 5) to determine, for a given input polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$, the real types as well as, for each type, the corresponding normal form equations to which f is equivalent (see Table 1). Each parameter is given as the unique root of its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ in a specified interval.

Figures 1 to 4 show in the gray shaded area all monomials which can possibly occur in a polynomial f of the given type T. The Newton polygon $\Gamma(T)$ is shown as the blue line with the non-moduli monomials of $\mathrm{NF}(T)$ shown as blue dots. Red dots indicate monomials which are not in $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$. The dot with the thick black circle indicates the moduli monomial in the Arnold system.

We start the classification process by classifying the input polynomial according to the complex classification in Arnold et al. (1985). The classifier to obtain the complex types has been implemented in the Singular library `classify.lib` (Krüger, 1997). As shown by Arnold (1974), the complex types correspond to real main types, which split up into real subtypes by modifying the signs of the terms in the normal form of the real main type, except in the case $Y_{r,r}$. This complex normal form splits up into the real main types $\widetilde{Y}_r$ and $Y_{r,r}$. We can easily distinguish whether an input polynomial f is of real main type $Y_{r,r}$ or $\widetilde{Y}_r$, using (Marais and Steenpaß, 2015a, Proposition 8) by considering the number of real roots of $\mathrm{jet}(f, 4)$. The Singular library `rootsur.lib` (Tobis, 2012) can be used for this task. We postpone the discussion of the case $\widetilde{Y}_r$ to Section 6.2, where we will give a modification of the general algorithm applicable to this case. Note that in all the other cases, the Newton polygon $\Gamma(T)$ of a real subtype T coincides with the Newton polygon of its corresponding complex and main real type. Also note that, according to Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), normal form equations of different complex types, and hence of different real main types, cannot be equivalent.

Suppose $\mathrm{NF}(T)(b)$, $b \in \mathrm{par}(\mathrm{NF}(T))$, is one of the normal form equations to which f is equivalent. Then there exists an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathbb{R}[[x, y]]$ such that $f = \phi(\mathrm{NF}(T)(b))$. We write $w = w(T)$ for the piecewise weight induced by $\Gamma(T)$ and let $d_w = d(T)$ be the degree of the monomials on $\Gamma(T)$ with respect to w.

Our first goal is to transform f, and thus ϕ, iteratively by composing ϕ with a suitable $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorphism such that, after every step, we have

$$f = \phi(\mathrm{NF}(T)(b))$$

and, after step i, we have

$$\mathrm{supp}(\mathrm{jet}(f, i)) = \mathrm{supp}(T, i)$$

if $\Gamma(T)$ has one face, and

$$\mathrm{supp}(w\text{-jet}(\mathrm{jet}(f, i), 0) = \mathrm{supp}(T, i)$$

if $\Gamma(T)$ has two faces. This implies that, after a finite number of steps, we may assume, by an appropriate choice of ϕ, that

$$\phi_0^w(x) = c_x x \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi_0^w(y) = c_y y,$$

where w is the weight defined by $\Gamma(T)$. After this, in the case where $\Gamma(T)$ has two faces, Theorem 19 can be used to determine the equivalence class of f by eliminating the monomials above $\Gamma(T)$. In the case where $\Gamma(T)$ has only one face, the algorithmic proof of Theorem 16 can be used to eliminate all monomials above $\Gamma(T)$ which are not in $\mathrm{supp}(T)$, by again iteratively transforming f and ϕ such that we have

$$f = \phi(\mathrm{NF}(T)(b))$$

after each step, and

$$\mathrm{supp}(w\text{-jet}(f, d_w + j_i)) = \mathrm{supp}(w\text{-jet}(T, d_w + j_i)),$$

where $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_i$, after step i. We may thus assume that

$$\phi_{j_i}^w(x) = c_x x \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi_{j_i}^w(y) = c_y y,$$

where $c_x, c_y \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Since the weighted determinacy k of f is finite, terms of w-degree greater than k may be discarded after each step. In particular, this process can be stopped once it reaches $d_w + j_i > k$. Hence a normal form equation of f can be determined in finitely many steps. To summarize, the general classification algorithm involves the following main steps:

I. Determine the complex type and the corresponding Newton polygon $\Gamma(T)$.
II. Eliminate all monomials in $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T)$ underneath or on $\Gamma(T)$.
III. Eliminate all monomials in $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T)$ above $\Gamma(T)$.
IV. Read off the real subtypes and the corresponding normal form equations.

Step (I) is straightforward using `classify.lib`. We now discuss Steps (II) to (IV) in detail:

Step (II): Elimination of monomials underneath and on the Newton Polygon. We first eliminate the monomials in $\operatorname{jet}(f, d)$, which are not in $\operatorname{jet}(T, d)$, where d is the maximum filtration of f with respect to the standard degree. This can be done by a linear transformation over $\mathbb{R}$, since these terms can only be created from the monomials in $\phi_0(x)$ and $\phi_0(y)$. Removing these terms amounts to transforming ϕ such that

$$\phi_0(x) = c_x x,\ c_x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

if $\operatorname{jet}(T, d) = x^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, or such that

$$\phi_0(x) = c_x x,\ c_x \in \mathbb{R},\ \text{and}\ \phi_0(y) = c_y y,\ c_y \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2}$$

if $\operatorname{jet}(T, d) \neq x^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For the exceptional cases and the parabolic cases J_{10} and J_{10+k}, this can be done by factorizing $\operatorname{jet}(f, d)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ using (Marais and Steenpaß, 2015a, Proposition 8) and (Marais and Steenpaß, 2015a, Lemma 9). Similarly, can we use Lemma 21 for the case X_{9+k}. See Algorithms 1 and 2, respectively, which implement the required transformations.

Algorithm 1

Input: $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ of real type T, where T is exceptional, or parabolic of type J_{10} or J_{10+k}, with maximum filtration d
Output: $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ with $f \sim h$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{jet}(h, d)) = \operatorname{supp}(T, d)$

```
g := jet(f, d)
factorize g over Q as c f_1^α f_2^β, with f_1 and f_2 linear and non-associated, c ∈ Q, and α > β ≥ 0
if β > 0 then
    apply f_1 ↦ x, f_2 ↦ y to f
else if f_1 ≠ c'y, c' ∈ Q then
    apply f_1 ↦ x, y ↦ y to f
else
    apply f_1 ↦ x, x ↦ y to f
return f
```

In the cases X_9 and $Y_{r,s}$, in general, a real field extension is required for this step. This leads to an implementational problem for the subsequent steps of the algorithm, since if we represent an algebraic number field as $\mathbb{Q}[z]/\langle m \rangle$, with $m \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ irreducible, we have to determine which root of m the generator $\overline{z}$ corresponds to. We discuss how to handle this problem in the cases X_9 and $Y_{r,s}$ in Sections 5 and 6, respectively.

Next we eliminate the remaining monomials in $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T)$ underneath or on $\Gamma(T)$. We consider the cases where $\Gamma(T)$ has exactly one face and where $\Gamma(T)$ has two faces separately.

The Newton polygon has only one face. Note that in the case X_9, there are no monomials in $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T)$ underneath or on $\Gamma(T)$. In the remaining cases, we have $\operatorname{jet}(T, d) = m_0$, where m_0 is a monomial, and d is the maximum filtration of f with respect to the standard degree as before. Then $\operatorname{jet}(f, d) = cm_0$, with $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{Q}$. By considering $\frac{1}{c} f$, we may assume that $c = 1$. Indeed, the transformations that remove the required terms of $\frac{1}{c} f$ also remove the same terms of f.

Algorithm 2

Input: $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of real type $T = X_{9+k}$
Output: $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ with $f \sim h$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{jet}(h,4)) = \operatorname{supp}(T,4)$
1: $g := \operatorname{jet}(f,4)$
2: factorize g over $\mathbb{Q}$ as $cf_1^2 f_2$ with f_1 linear, f_2 quadratic, and $c \in \mathbb{Q}$
3: **if** $f_1 \neq c'y$, $c' \in \mathbb{Q}$ **then**
4: apply $f_1 \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
5: **else**
6: apply $f_1 \mapsto x$, $x \mapsto y$ to f
7: write $f = a_0x^4 + a_1x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + R$, $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, and $R \in E_5$
8: apply $y \mapsto y - \frac{a_1}{2a_2}x$, $x \mapsto x$ to f
9: **return** f

We first eliminate the monomials in f strictly underneath $\Gamma(T)$ and then the monomials on $\Gamma(T)$, which are not in $\operatorname{supp}(T)$.

We inductively consider jets of f of increasing standard degree, starting at degree $d+1$, until all monomials strictly underneath $\Gamma(T)$ have been removed. For each degree j, the jet is transformed such that $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{jet}(f,j)) = \operatorname{supp}(T,j)$. While $\operatorname{jet}(T,j) = m_0$, all terms of degree j in f have been created through a term in homogeneous non-linear polynomials v_1 or v_2 in

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \text{linear terms} + v_1 + \text{terms of higher degree than } v_1\\ \phi(y) &= \text{linear terms} + v_2 + \text{terms of higher degree than } v_2.\end{aligned}$$

Considering Equations (1) and (2), and taking into account that by $c = 1$, we may assume $c_x = 1$ and $c_x = c_y = 1$, respectively, such a transformation maps $m_0 = x^\alpha y^\beta$ in $\operatorname{NF}(T)(b)$ to

$$x^\alpha y^\beta + \alpha x^{\alpha-1}y^\beta v_1 + \beta x^\alpha y^{\beta-1}v_2 + \text{terms of higher degree.}$$

Since m_0 has degree less than j and is the only term in $\operatorname{NF}(T)(b)$ with degree less than or equal to j, the terms of degree j in f can be written as

$$v_1\frac{\partial m_0}{\partial x} + v_2\frac{\partial m_0}{\partial y},$$

where $\deg(v_1) > 1$ and $\deg(v_2) > 1$. We can eliminate these terms by the transformation $x \mapsto x - v_1$, $y \mapsto y - v_2$. This transformation possibly creates terms of degree greater than j, but does not change $\operatorname{jet}(f, j-1)$.

As soon as the j-jet of $\operatorname{NF}(T)(b)$ contains more than one term, the situation becomes more complicated. For example, if j is the degree of a monomial in $\operatorname{supp}(T)$, then some of the monomials of degree j in $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T)$ may result from the linear terms of $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$. However, all monomials in f underneath $\Gamma(T)$ have already been eliminated before we reach such a case. Indeed, taking Equations (1) and (2) into account, linear terms in $\phi(x)$ will not create any additional monomials and $\phi(y)$ will only create additional monomials above $\Gamma(T)$. Moreover, a case by case analysis shows that terms in f underneath $\Gamma(T)$ also cannot result from higher order terms in $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$:

- If $m_0 = x^d$, then the real main type of f is one of the following: W_{12}, W_{13}, E_{12}, E_{13}, E_{14}, J_{10} (see Figures 1, 2, and 4).

  Considering the normal forms of W_{12} and W_{13}, we have $\operatorname{jet}(T,4) = x^4$ and $\operatorname{jet}(T,5) \neq x^4$. Suppose $\operatorname{jet}(f,4) = x^4$. If f is of type W_{12}, then f cannot have any monomials underneath $\Gamma(T)$. Let f be of type W_{13} and suppose $y^5 \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$. Then it follows from Theorem 16 that f is of type W_{12} which leads to a contradiction. Hence $y^5 \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$.

  If f is of type E_{12} or E_{13}, then $\operatorname{jet}(T,5) = x^3$ and $\operatorname{jet}(T,6) \neq x^3$. After applying the algorithm described above, we may assume that $\operatorname{jet}(f,5) = x^3$. If $y^6 \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$, then f is of type J_{10}. If $y^7 \in \operatorname{supp}(f)$, then f is not of type E_{13}, but rather of type E_{12}. Hence if f is of type E_{12} or E_{13}, then f has no monomials underneath $\Gamma(T)$. Similarly, it can be

shown that if f is of type E_{14}, then f has no monomials underneath $\Gamma(T)$ after f has been transformed such that $\text{jet}(f,6) = x^3$.

If f has real main type J_{10} and $\text{jet}(f,3) = x^3$, the only monomials that may occur underneath $\Gamma(T)$ are xy^3, y^4 and y^5. Suppose that $xy^3 \in \text{supp}(f)$. Since, by Equation (1), this term cannot result from linear terms of $\phi(x)$ or $\phi(y)$, and since $\text{jet}(f,3) = x^3$, it follows that $xy^3 \in \text{supp}(v_1 \frac{\partial x^3}{\partial x}) = \text{supp}(3v_1x^2)$. Since $x^2 \nmid xy^3$, this leads to a contradiction. If $y^4 \in \text{supp}(f)$, then f is of real main type E_6 by Theorem 16, which again gives a contradiction. Similarly, if $y^5 \in \text{supp}(f)$, then f is of real main type E_8.

- If $m_0 \neq x^d$, then the real main type of f is one of the following: Z_{11}, Z_{12}, Z_{13} (see Figure 3).

  We show that, in these cases, there are no monomials underneath $\Gamma(T)$ after the linear transformation that transforms f such that $\text{jet}(f,d) = m_0$. Suppose f is of one of the main types under consideration and that $\text{jet}(f,d) = m_0$. If f is of type Z_{11}, there are no monomials of degree greater than d underneath $\Gamma(T)$. Suppose f is of real main type Z_{12} or Z_{13}. If y^5 or xy^4 are elements of $\text{supp}(f)$, then, by Theorem 16, f is of real main type W_{12} or Z_{12}, respectively, which leads to a contradiction.

Therefore, if $m_0 \neq x^d$, then after the linear transformation given in Algorithm 1, f has no monomials underneath $\Gamma(T)$. If $m_0 = x^d$ and j is such that $\text{jet}(T,j) = m_0$, then one can write

$$\text{jet}(f,j) = m_0 + v_1 \frac{\partial m_0}{\partial x} + 0 \frac{\partial m_0}{\partial y}.$$

Hence, iterative application of Algorithm 4 with t a term of f of standard degree j removes all monomials underneath $\Gamma(T)$. Note that in the algorithm we have $m_x = m_0$, so we make only use of the case in lines 8 - 9. The other case will be used later to eliminate monomials above the diagonal in a similar manner. Moreover note, that Algorithm 4 also works for the polynomial $t = \text{jet}(f,j) - \text{jet}(f,j-1)$.

After eliminating all the monomials in $\text{supp}(f)$ strictly underneath $\Gamma(T)$, we may assume that $\phi^w_{-1}(x) = 0$ and $\phi^w_{-1}(y) = 0$.

Next we eliminate the remaining monomials in $\text{supp}(f) \setminus \text{supp}(T)$ on $\Gamma(T)$, see line 10 in Algorithm 5. The only case where such monomials can occur is the J_{10} case. In this case, these monomials can theoretically be eliminated by a weighted homogeneous transformation. However, similar to the linear transformation discussed above, this transformation creates implementational difficulties, since it may require real field extensions. We will discuss how to handle this problem in Section 6.

The Newton polygon has two faces. In this case, all the normal forms we have to consider are of the form $x^n + x^2y^2 + ay^m$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, see Figure 5.

After a linear transformation (see Algorithm 1 for the case J_{10+k}, Algorithm 2 for the case X_{9+k}, and Section 6 for the case $Y_{r,s}$), we may assume that $\text{supp}(\text{jet}(f,d)) = \text{supp}(T,d)$, where d is the maximum filtration of f with respect to the standard grading. We first remove all monomials m with

$$\max\{w_i\text{-deg}(m) \mid i\} \leq d_w$$

which are not in $\text{supp}(T)$, see line 12 in Algorithm 5. The only cases in which such monomials may occur are the J_{10+k} cases. The monomials y^4, y^5 and xy^3 do not occur in f if it is of type J_{10+k}, $k > 0$, since these monomials lead to a different type. Using Algorithm 3, we transform f by a weighted linear transformation such that $\text{supp}(w\text{-jet}(f,6)) = \text{supp}(w\text{-jet}(T,6))$ where $w = (2,1)$, which means that f is in the desired form.

Similar to the case where $\Gamma(T)$ has one face, we may assume that $\text{coeff}(f, x^2y^2) = 1$ by considering $\frac{1}{c}f$, where $c = \text{coeff}(f, x^2y^2)$. We now, again, consider jets of f of increasing standard degree, starting at degree $d+1$. However, in this case, we only eliminate those monomials not lying in $\text{supp}(T)$ that are strictly underneath or on $\Gamma(T)$. Suppose we are considering the standard weighted j-jet. We first eliminate terms of the form c_1xy^{j-1} and c_2yx^{j-1} which lie underneath

Algorithm 3

Input: f of type J_{10+k} with $\operatorname{supp}((2,1)\text{-jet}(f,5)) = \emptyset$
Output: f with $\operatorname{supp}((2,1)\text{-jet}(f,6)) = \operatorname{supp}((2,1)\text{-jet}(T,6))$

1: write f as $f = x^3 + cx^2y^2 + dxy^4 + ey^6 + R$ with $c,d,e \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $R \in E_7^{(2,1)}$
2: let q be the double root of $x^3 + cx^2 + dx + e$
3: apply $x \mapsto x + qy^2$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
4: **return** f

or on $\Gamma(T)$, using permissable x- and y-segments, that is, by applying the transformation

$$x \mapsto x + \frac{c_1}{2}y^{j-3}, \quad y \mapsto y$$

or

$$x \mapsto x, \quad y \mapsto y + \frac{c_2}{2}x^{j-3},$$

respectively. This process is described in Algorithm 4 with $t = c_1xy^{j-1}$ or $t = c_2yx^{j-1}$, respectively. Now, if $n, m \neq j$, the monomials x^j and y^j do not occur in $\operatorname{supp}(f)$. Otherwise, by Lemma 19 and Theorem 16, f would be of a different type than assumed. No other monomials can occur in $\operatorname{supp}(f) \setminus \operatorname{supp}(T)$ underneath or on $\Gamma(T)$.

Algorithm 4

Input: $f \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ of corank 2, modality 1 and type T, and a term $t \notin \operatorname{supp}(T)$ of f such that either

(a) $t \in \operatorname{Jac}(f)$, $|\operatorname{supp}(T,j)| = 1$, $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{jet}(f,j-1)) = \operatorname{supp}(T,j-1)$ where $j = \deg(t)$,
(b) t is in a permissable chain, and $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{jet}(f,d)) = \operatorname{supp}(T,d)$ where d is the maximum filtration of f, or
(c) $t \in \operatorname{Jac}(f)$, $j := w(T)\text{-deg}(t) > d(T)$, $\operatorname{supp}(w(T)\text{-jet}(f,j-1)) = \operatorname{supp}(w(T)\text{-jet}(T,j-1))$, and j is smaller than the $w(T)$-degree of the unique element in Arnold's system.

Output: $g \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ such that $f \sim g$ and $\operatorname{supp}(g) \cap \operatorname{supp}(t) = \emptyset$

1: let f_0 be piecewise quasihomogeneous part of f of degree $d(T)$ w.r.t. $w(T)$
2: **if** $t \in \operatorname{Jac}(f)$ **then**
3: $\quad (u_1,u_2) := ((1,1),(1,1))$
4: **else**
5: $\quad (u_1,u_2) := w(T)$
6: let m_x be the term of $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}$ of lowest u_2-degree
7: let m_y be the term of $\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y}$ of lowest u_1-degree
8: **if** $m_x | t$ **then**
9:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha : \mathbb{K}[x,y] & \to & \mathbb{K}[x,y] \\ x & \mapsto & x - t/m_x \\ y & \mapsto & y \end{array}$$

10: **else**
11:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \alpha : \mathbb{K}[x,y] & \to & \mathbb{K}[x,y] \\ x & \mapsto & x \\ y & \mapsto & y - t/m_y \end{array}$$

12: $g := \alpha(f)$
13: **return** g

Step (III): Elimination of monomials above the Newton polygon. We first consider the case where $\Gamma(T)$ has one face. Here we use the algorithmic method that was use in the proof of Theorem 16 to eliminate the monomials above $\Gamma(T)$ in $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$. Let $\{m_1\}$ be the Arnold system for the singularity under consideration. Let d'_w be the degree of m_1. Again we iteratively consider the w-degree j part of f in $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$, for increasing j with $d_w < j \leq d'_w$. This polynomial can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}v_1 + \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y}v_2,$$

where f_0 is the quasihomogeneous part of f and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$. After applying the transformation $x \mapsto x - v_1$, $y \mapsto y - v_2$ to f, the w-degree j part has no terms of degree j in $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$. Note that for $d_w < j < d'_w$, all terms of w-degree j are in $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$. In all the cases we consider, each weighted diagonal above $\Gamma(T)$ of w-degree less than or equal to d'_w will only contain one monomial in $\mathrm{Jac}(f)$. Hence, either v_1 or v_2 are zero. We therefore can apply Algorithm 4 to remove this monomial.

The remaining w-degree d'_w part of f can be written as

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x}v_1 + \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial y}v_2 + cm_1,$$

where $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying the transformation $x \mapsto x - v_1$, $y \mapsto y - v_2$ results in transforming the part of f of weighted degree d'_w to cm_1. A case by case analysis shows that we can always choose $v_2 = 0$. See line 22 in Algorithm 5.

Since all terms of w-degree greater than d'_w are above the weighted determinacy, they can be deleted.

Remark 22. The question may be asked why we change the strategy, in the sense that we iteratively consider the terms of increasing standard degree in the process of removing all terms underneath $\Gamma(T)$ and the terms of increasing w-degree above $\Gamma(T)$. The reason is that canceling terms underneath $\Gamma(T)$ can only be done using transformations of negative filtration with respect to w, since the terms underneath $\Gamma(T)$ have negative w-degree. This means that in the process of deleting monomials of a given w-degree, monomials of lower and higher w-degree may be created. Since the filtration of a transformation with respect to the standard degree cannot be negative, this is not the case when canceling terms by increasing standard degree via the above methods. However, terms above $\Gamma(T)$ are canceled using transformations with non-negative filtration with respect to w via the above method and therefore we consider terms by increasing w-degree in this case, which leads to a much simpler process.

In the case where $\Gamma(T)$ has two faces, Theorem 19 allows us to delete all monomials above $\Gamma(T)$.

Step (IV): Scaling and reading off the desired information. Lastly we scale f such that the coefficients of the non-moduli terms coincide with those in one of the real subtypes of the real main type of f, read off the needed information and determine all normal form equations in the equivalence class of f, using Marais and Steenpaß (2015b).

Handling the case $\widetilde{Y}_r$. In case f is of real main type $\widetilde{Y}_r$, we can follow the general algorithm (Algorithm 5) to compute its complex equivalence class. Determining the number of real roots of the initial input polynomial, the real class can then be read off using (Marais and Steenpaß, 2015b, Lemma 27). Unavoidably, a complex field extension is already needed in line 3 of the general algorithm. Again, this results in problems for the implementation of the algorithm. We discuss this case in detail in Section 6.

4. Implementing the Exceptional Cases

For the exceptional singularities (see Figures 1, 2, and 3), the general algorithm can be implemented in a straightforward manner. As an example, we consider singularities of real main type E_{14}, and give all details in Algorithm 6. In this case, we have $w = (8, 3)$, $d = 3$, $d_w = 24$,

Algorithm 5 Classification and Determination of Parameter: General Structure

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$, a germ of modality 1 and corank 2

Output: the real singularity types and normal forms of f, as well as the corresponding normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f with the respective parameter given as the unique root of its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ in a specified interval

I. Determine the complex type:

1: compute the complex type T of f using `classify()` from Krüger (1997)

II. Eliminate the monomials in $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ *underneath or on* $\Gamma(T)$*:*

2: let d be the maximum filtration of f w.r.t. the standard grading
3: apply a linear coordinate change to f such that $\operatorname{supp}(\operatorname{jet}(f,d)) = \operatorname{supp}(T,d)$
4: $w := (w_1,\dots,w_n) := w(T)$
5: $d_w := d(T)$
6: **if** $n = 1$ **then**
7: **for** $j = d+1, d+2, \dots$ **while** $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap (\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_+(T)) \neq \emptyset$ **do**
8: **while** f has a term t of standard degree j **do**
9: replace f by the output of Algorithm 4 applied to f and t
10: apply a weighted homogeneous transformation to f such that
$$\operatorname{supp}(w\text{-jet}(f,d_w)) = \operatorname{supp}(w\text{-jet}(T,d_w))$$
11: **else**
12: apply a w_2-weighted homogeneous transformation to f such that
$$\operatorname{supp}(w_2\text{-jet}(f,d_w)) = \operatorname{supp}(w_2\text{-jet}(T,d_w))$$
13: **for** $j = d+1, d+2, \dots$ **while** $\operatorname{supp}(f) \cap (\overline{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_+(T)}) \neq \emptyset$ **do**
14: **while** f has a term t of the form bxy^{j-1} or byx^{j-1} underneath or on $\Gamma(T)$ **do**
15: replace f by the output of Algorithm 4 applied to f and t

III. Eliminate the monomials above $\Gamma(T)$ *which are not in* $\operatorname{supp}(T)$*:*

16: **if** $n = 1$ **then**
17: let d'_w be the w-degree of the unique element in Arnold's system
18: **for** $j = d_w + 1, \dots, d'_w$ **do**
19: **while** f has a term $t \in \operatorname{Jac}(g)$ of w-degree j **do**
20: replace f by the output of Algorithm 4 applied to f and t
21: $f := w\text{-jet}(f, d'_w)$
22: modulo $\operatorname{Jac}(f)$, write the sum of the terms of f above $\Gamma(T)$ in terms of Arnold's system
23: **else**
24: $f := w\text{-jet}(f, d_w)$

IV. Scale and read off the desired information:

25: apply a transformation of the form $x \mapsto \pm x$, $y \mapsto \pm y$ to f such that the signs of the coefficients of the non-moduli monomials match the signs of one possible real normal form F in Table 1
26: read off the corresponding type of F
27: apply a transformation of the form $x \mapsto \lambda_1 x$, $y \mapsto \lambda_2 y$ with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ in a real algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ to transform the non-moduli terms to the terms of F
28: determine the minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ for the parameter in F
29: using Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), determine all possible types and corresponding parameters
30: **return** all types, normal forms, and parameters

$d'_w = 26$, $m_0 = x^3$, $m_1 = xy^6$ and $f_0 = x^3 + y^8$ in the general algorithm. Algorithm 6 corresponds directly to our implementation of the respective case in SINGULAR. Note that, although the scaling step in line 27 of Algorithm 5 has not been implemented explicitly, it has been taken

into account in Algorithm 6 when determining the minimal polynomial. We use the following notation.

Notation 23. Suppose $p \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$ is a univariate polynomial over the rational numbers, and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval such that there is exactly one $a \in I$ with $p(a) = 0$. We then denote the monic irreducible divisor of p with root a by $m_I(p)$.



FIGURE 1. Exceptional Singularities of type W



FIGURE 2. Exceptional Singularities of type E

When denoting real subcases, we identify $+$ with $+1$ and $-$ with -1. For example, we use the notation $E_{14}^{\mathrm{sign}(b)}$ for E_{14}^{+} if $b > 0$, and E_{14}^{-} if $b < 0$.

5. Parabolic Singularities

In this section, we give details on the application of the general algorithm (Algorithm 5) for the parabolic singularities. See Figure 4 for these cases.



Z_{11} Z_{12} Z_{13}

FIGURE 3. Exceptional Singularities of type Z



X_9 J_{10}

FIGURE 4. Parabolic Singularities

5.1. **The J_{10} case.** In this case we have $w = (6, 3)$ and $d_w = d'_w = 18$. As discussed in Section 3, lines 7 - 9 in the general algorithm are redundant. Moreover, by $d_w = d'_w$, Step (III) is redundant. Unfortunately we may need a real field extension in line 10 of the general algorithm. This leads to an implementational problem for the subsequent steps of the algorithm, since if we represent an algebraic number field as $\mathbb{Q}[z]/m$, with m irreducible, we have to determine which root of m the generator $\overline{z}$ corresponds to. In this section, we will work around this problem by presenting a method to read off the required information without explicitly doing the required weighted linear transformation, see Algorithm 7.

In Marais and Steenpaß (2015b) it has been shown that in the case of real main type J_{10}, the equivalence class of f either contains exactly one normal form equation of type J^+_{10} or it contains exactly three normal form equations, two of which are of type J^+_{10} and one is of type J^-_{10}. This shows, in particular, that the real subtype J^-_{10} is redundant. The following algorithmic approach to the classification also reconfirms these findings.

Let $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3$ be a polynomial of complex type J_{10} such that $f \in E^{(6,3)}_{18}$, that is, it is of the form

$$f = cx^3 + bx^2y^2 + dxy^4 + ey^6 + R, \qquad R \in E^{(6,3)}_{19}.$$

Algorithm 6 Algorithm for the case E_{14}

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of complex singularity type $T = E_{14}$

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

II. Eliminate the monomials in $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ *underneath or on* $\Gamma(T)$*:*

Apply Algorithm 1:

$h := \mathrm{jet}(f,3)$
factorize h as ch_1^3, where h_1 is homogeneous of degree one and $c \in \mathbb{Q}^*$
if $h \neq c'y, c' \in \mathbb{Q}$ **then**
    apply $h_1 \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
else
    apply $h_1 \mapsto x$, $x \mapsto y$ to f
$g := \frac{1}{c} f$

Consider the terms of g *of standard degree* $j = 4$ *and apply Algorithm 4:*

$h_1 := \frac{\mathrm{jet}(g,4) - x^3}{3x^2}$
apply $x \mapsto x - h_1$, $y \mapsto y$ to g

Consider the terms of g *of standard degree* $j = 5$ *and apply Algorithm 4:*

$h_2 := \frac{\mathrm{jet}(g,5) - x^3}{3x^2}$
apply $x \mapsto x - h_2$, $y \mapsto y$ to g

III. Eliminate the monomials above $\Gamma(T)$ *which are not in* $\mathrm{supp}(T)$*:*

$w := (8,3)$

Consider the terms of g *of* w*-degree* $j = 25$ *and apply Algorithm 4:*

$h_1' := \frac{w\text{-jet}(g,25) - w\text{-jet}(g,24)}{3x^2}$
$x \mapsto x - h_1'$, $y \mapsto y$

$f := cg$

IV. Read off the desired information:

if $c < 0$ **then**
    apply $x \mapsto -x$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
write f as $f = cx^3 + by^8 + R$ with $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$, and $R \in E_{25}^{(8,3)}$
$t := \mathrm{coeff}(f, xy^6)$
$p := z^{12} - c^{-4}|b|^{-9}t^{12} \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$
if $t > 0$ **then**
    return $(E_{14}^{\mathrm{sign}(b)},\ x^3 + \mathrm{sign}(b)\cdot y^8 + axy^6,\ \mathrm{m}_{(0,\infty)}(p),\ (0,\infty))$
else
    return $(E_{14}^{\mathrm{sign}(b)},\ x^3 + \mathrm{sign}(b)\cdot y^8 + axy^6,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-\infty,0]}(p),\ (-\infty,0])$

Since f is weighted 18-determined, $f \sim f - R$. Hence, we may assume that

$$f = cx^3 + bx^2y^2 + dxy^4 + ey^6.$$

If $c < 0$, then we apply the transformation

$$x \mapsto -x, \qquad y \mapsto y.$$

Since f is weighted homogeneous, a rescaling of the coordinates achieves that $c = 1$. By applying

$$x \mapsto x - \frac{b}{3}y^2, \quad y \mapsto y, \tag{3}$$

f is transformed to a polynomial of the form

$$f = x^3 + dxy^4 + ey^6.$$

Since, on the other hand, for $a', d' \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$x^3 + a'x^2y^2 \pm |d'|xy^4 \sim x^3 + ax^2y^2 \pm xy^4, \quad a = \frac{a'}{\sqrt{|d'|}}, \tag{4}$$

via the invertible transformation

$$\alpha' : x \mapsto x,\ y \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{|d'|}}y$$

(as applied in Step (IV) of the general algorithm), the problem is reduced to finding a transformation α such that $f = x^3 + dxy^4 + ey^6$ is mapped to

$$\alpha(f) = x^3 + a'x^2y^2 \pm |d'|xy^4, \quad a', d' \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{5}$$

The composition of α with the transformation in (3) and the scaling of the coordinates, as described above, is then a suitable transformation to be applied in line 10 of the general algorithm.

In the following, we describe a method to determine all polynomials as in (5) in the equivalence class of f. Since α has to be weighted homogeneous, it is of the form

$$\alpha : x \mapsto x + cy^2, \qquad y \mapsto ty \tag{6}$$

with appropriate $t, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \neq 0$. We now determine all possible values for t and c. Applying a transformation as in (6) to f, we obtain

$$\alpha(f) = x^3 + 3cx^2y^2 + (3c^2 + t^4d)xy^4 + (c^3 + t^4dc + et^6)y^6. \tag{7}$$

Taking $c' = \frac{c}{t^2}$, we can rewrite (7) as

$$\alpha(f) = x^3 + 3t^2c'x^2y^2 + t^4(3c'^2 + d)xy^4 + t^6(c'^3 + dc' + e)y^6. \tag{8}$$

Clearly, for a fixed value $t \neq 0$, c' is any real root of $k := s^3 + ds + e \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$. Due to the scaling of y by α', we may assume that $t = 1$ and $c = c'$.

To determine all possible normal form equations in the equivalence class of f, we have to consider the following cases:

(i) $k(s)$ has one real root;
(ii) $k(s)$ has three real roots.

Denote by c_1, c_2 and c_3 the complex roots of $k(s)$. Then

$$c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0, \quad c_1c_2 + c_1c_3 + c_2c_3 = d, \quad \text{and} \quad c_1c_2c_3 = e. \tag{9}$$

(i) Let $c = c_1$ be the real root and let c_2 and c_3 be the complex conjugate roots of $k(s)$. It follows from (9) that $c_2c_3 = d + c_1^2$. Since the product of two non-zero complex conjugates is positive, $d + c_1^2 > 0$, which implies $d + 3c_1^2 > 0$. Hence, considering (8), f is of type J_{10}^+. Since the real root c_1 is uniquely determined, the transformation α as considered above and the real subtype are also uniquely determined. In particular, $a = \frac{3c_1}{\sqrt{3c_1^2+d}}$ is uniquely determined. Define $a_1 := a$, $a_2 := \frac{3c_2}{\sqrt{3c_2^2+d}}$, and $a_3 := \frac{3c_3}{\sqrt{3c_3^2+d}}$. Note that $da_j^2 = c_j^2(9 - 3a_j^2)$. Since c_1, c_2, and c_3 are the roots of $k(s)$, they are also roots of

$$\tilde{k}(s) := -k(s) \cdot k(-s) = s^6 + 2ds^4 + d^2s^2 - e^2.$$

Multiplying $\tilde{k}(s)$ with $(9 - 3a_j^2)^3$, we see that da_j^2 is a root of

$$\tilde{h}_j(w) = w^3 + 2dw^2(9 - 3a_j^2) + d^2w(9 - 3a_j^2)^2 - e^2(9 - 3a_j^2)^3 \in \mathbb{Q}[w],$$

that is, $\pm a_j$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, are the roots of

$$h(z) := (4d^3 + 27e^2)z^6 + (-36d^3 - 243e^2)z^4 + (81d^3 + 729e^2)z^2 - 729e^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[z].$$

By $e = c_1c_2c_3 = 0$ and $c_2, c_3 \neq 0$, it follows that

$$e = 0 \Leftrightarrow c_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = 0.$$

We show that this is the case if and only if $a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ or $a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that, without loss of generality, a_2 is real. Then $a_2^2 = \frac{9c_2^2}{3c_2^2+d}$ is real, which implies that $3c_2^2 + d = \lambda c_2^2$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $d = \lambda' c_2^2$ for some $\lambda' \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $d \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $c_2^2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Because $c_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $c_2 = \gamma i$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $c_3 = -\gamma i$. This implies that a_3 is real, since $d = c_2c_3 = \gamma^2$. By (9) we obtain $c_1 = 0$. For the converse, note that if $c_1 = 0$, then $c_2 = \gamma i$ and $c_3 = -\gamma i$, hence a_2 and a_3 are real.

We now consider the case where $e \neq 0$. Using the fact that c_2c_3 is positive and $e = c_1c_2c_3$, it follows that

$$\operatorname{sign}(e) = \operatorname{sign}(c_1) = \operatorname{sign}(a).$$

Hence, a minimal polynomial for a is obtained as the monic irreducible factor of $h(x)$ with a root in $(0, \infty)$ in case $e > 0$, or in $(-\infty, 0)$ in case $e < 0$. Moreover, a is the unique root of this factor in this interval.

(ii) In the case where $k(s)$ has three real roots, they must be pairwise different, otherwise $k(s)$ and its derivative $k'(s)$ have a common root, which implies that f is degenerate, since the coefficient of xy^4 in (8) vanishes for c a double root of $k(s)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$. Therefore a can attain the three different values

$$a_j := \frac{3c_j}{\sqrt{|d + 3c_j^2|}}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

In case $c_2 = 0$, we have $c_3 = -c_1 = \sqrt{-d}$ with $d < 0$, which implies that $a_1 = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$, $a_2 = 0$, and $a_3 = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}$. Considering the sign of $3c_i^2 + d$, we obtain that c_1 and c_3 correspond to type J_{10}^+ and c_2 corresponds to J_{10}^-.

Next we consider the case $c_2 \neq 0$. Since $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0$, not all three roots have the same sign. Suppose $-\operatorname{sign}(c_1) = \operatorname{sign}(c_2) = \operatorname{sign}(c_3)$. Note that $|c_1| = |c_2 + c_3| = |c_2| + |c_3|$, that is, $|c_1| > |c_2|$ and $|c_1| > |c_3|$. Then $3c_1^2 + d = 3c_1^2 + c_1c_2 + c_1c_3 + c_2c_3 > 0$, since $|c_1^2| > |c_1c_3|$ and $|c_1^2| > |c_1c_2|$, and, moreover, $c_1^2 > 0$, $c_1c_2 < 0$, $c_1c_3 < 0$, and $c_2c_3 > 0$. Hence, c_1 corresponds to type J_{10}^+. Furthermore

$$3c_j^2 + d = 3c_j^2 + c_1(c_2 + c_3) + c_2c_3 = 3c_j^2 - (c_2 + c_3)(c_2 + c_3) + c_2c_3 = 3c_j^2 - (c_2^2 + c_2c_3 + c_3^2),$$

for $j = 2, 3$. Since $|c_2| < |c_3|$, we obtain that c_2 corresponds to type J_{10}^- and c_3 to J_{10}^+. The remaining case $-\operatorname{sign}(c_1) = -\operatorname{sign}(c_2) = \operatorname{sign}(c_3)$ can be treated in a similar manner, leading again to types J_{10}^+, J_{10}^- and J_{10}^+ corresponding to c_1, c_2 and c_3.

We now determine the corresponding values of the moduli parameter. We first consider the case J_{10}^-. Note that

$$-d(a_2)^2 = c_2^2(9 + 3(a_2)^2) \text{ and } -d(ia_j)^2 = c_j^2(9 + 3(ia_j)^2), \quad j = 1, 3.$$

By multiplying $\tilde{k}(s)$ with $9 + 3(ia_j)^2$, for $j = 1, 3$, and with $9 + 3a_j^2$, for $j = 2$, it follows that the roots of

$$h^-(z) := (-4d^3 - 27e^2)z^6 + (-36d^3 - 243e^2)z^4 + (-81d^3 - 729e^2)z^2 - 729e^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$$

are $\pm ia_1$, $\pm a_2$, and $\pm ia_3$. Since $\operatorname{sign}(c_1) = -\operatorname{sign}(c_3)$, we have

$$\operatorname{sign}(a_2) = \operatorname{sign}(c_2) = -\operatorname{sign}(e),$$

which determines an appropriate interval containing a_2.

For the parameters which correspond to J_{10}^{+}, we can construct, in a similar manner, the polynomial

$$h^{+}(z) := (4d^3 + 27e^2)z^6 + (-36d^3 - 243e^2)z^4 + (81d^3 + 729e^2)z^2 - 729e^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$$

with roots $\pm a_1$, $\pm i a_2$, and $\pm a_3$. Since, in these cases, either $|c_2| < |c_1|$ or $|c_2| < |c_3|$, it follows that $d < 0$. An easy calculation shows that $|c_1| < |c_3|$ if and only if $|a_3| < |a_1|$.

If $e > 0$, that is, $c_2 < 0$, we have that $|c_1| + |c_2| = |c_1 + c_2| = |c_3|$ and, hence, that $|a_3| < |a_1|$. Therefore, a_1 is the smallest negative real root and a_3 is the smallest positive real root of $h^{+}(z)$. Similarly, if $e < 0$, then $|a_1| < |a_3|$, hence a_1 is the largest negative real root, and a_3 the largest positive real root of $h^{+}(z)$.

Remark 24. With regard to the implementation, we use the SINGULAR library `solve.lib` Wenk and Pohl (1999) and Sturm chains, as implemented in the SINGULAR library `rootsur.lib` Tobis (2012), to determine intervals with rational boundaries containing the roots.

5.2. **The X_9 case.** According to Theorem 29 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), the real right equivalence class of a singularity of real main type X_9 always contains exactly two normal form equations from Arnold's list, of possibly different real subtypes. There are four different possible cases for a given singularity of real main type X_9:

(A) The singularity is right equivalent to $\mathrm{NF}\big(X_9^{++}\big)(a)$ for two different values of the parameter a with $a > -2$.
(B) The singularity is right equivalent to $\mathrm{NF}\big(X_9^{--}\big)(a)$ for two different values of the parameter a with $a < 2$.
(C) The singularity is right equivalent to both $\mathrm{NF}\big(X_9^{+-}\big)(a)$ and $\mathrm{NF}\big(X_9^{-+}\big)(a)$ for some unique value $a \in \mathbb{R}$ of the parameter.
(D) The singularity is right equivalent to $\mathrm{NF}\big(X_9^{++}\big)(a)$ for some value $a < -2$ of the parameter and to $\mathrm{NF}\big(X_9^{--}\big)(a)$ for some $a > 2$.

In the following, we discuss how Algorithm 8 determines in which of these cases a given singularity falls, and which are the corresponding values of the parameter. We do not stricly follow Algorithm 5 because line 3 would, in general, require working over algebraic extensions. Due to this difficulty, especially Step (IV) needs a specific approach.

First of all, the determinacy of a given polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ of complex singularity type X_9 is 4, thus it suffices to consider $\mathrm{jet}(f, 4)$. Some calculations in linear algebra show that we can assume the coefficient of x^4 to be non-zero, by applying a linear coordinate transformation as in line 2 of Algorithm 8 if necessary. For convenience, we can then get rid of the term x^3y by the transformation given in line 3 such that f is of the form

$$f = bx^4 + cx^2y^2 + dxy^3 + ey^4 \text{ with } b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } b \neq 0.$$

The number of real roots of $f(x, 1) = bx^4 + cx^2 + dx + e$, which geometrically correspond to the points of the strict transform on the exceptional divisor of the blow-up at the origin, is invariant under right equivalence and can thus be used as a first step to distinguish the four cases mentioned above. In fact, in the cases (A) and (B) the polynomial $f(x, 1)$ has no real roots, in the case (C) it has two real roots, and in the case (D) it has four real roots. Furthermore, the two cases (A) and (B) can be distinguished by the sign of the coefficient of x^4 because it is invariant under right equivalence if f is of either one of these cases.

It remains to determine the possible values of the parameter a. Using the techniques from Section 5.1 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), one can determine, for each real subtype, a polynomial whose coefficients depend on those of f and whose roots are precisely the possible values of the parameter in the normal form equations to which f is complex right equivalent. In Algorithm 8, this is the polynomial $p^{(\sigma)}$ defined in line 5, with $\sigma = +1$ for the subtypes X_9^{++} and X_9^{--}, and with $\sigma = -1$ for X_9^{+-} and X_9^{-+}.

However, it turns out that $p^{(\sigma)}$ does not always factorize into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that the number of its real roots within the intervals specified in the cases (A) to (D) above is larger

Algorithm 7 Algorithm for the case J_{10}

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of complex singularity type J_{10}

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

$f := \mathrm{jet}(f,6)$
if $\mathrm{coeff}(f,x^3) = 0$ **then**
    apply $x \mapsto y$, $y \mapsto x$ to f
$h := \mathrm{jet}(f,3)$
factorize h as bg_1^3 with $b \in \mathbb{Q}$, $b > 0$, and g_1 homogeneous of degree 1
apply $x \mapsto g_1$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
$f := \frac{1}{\mathrm{coeff}(f,x^3)} f$
write f as $f = x^3 + ax^2y^2 + dxy^4 + ey^6$ with $a, d, e \in \mathbb{Q}$
apply $x \mapsto x - \frac{a}{3}y^2$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
write f as $f = x^3 + dxy^4 + ey^6$ with $d, e \in \mathbb{Q}$
$p^{(\sigma)} := \sigma(4d^3 + 27e^2)z^6 + (-36d^3 - 243e^2)z^4 + \sigma(81d^3 + 729e^2)z^2 - 729e^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$
$k := s^3 + ds + e \in \mathbb{Q}[s]$
if k has exactly one real root **then**
    if $e > 0$ **then**
        return $(J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{(0,\infty)}(p^+),\ (0,\infty))$
    if $e < 0$ **then**
        return $(J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-\infty,0)}(p^+),\ (-\infty,0))$
    if $e = 0$ **then**
        return $(J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ z,\ [0,0])$
else
    if $k(0) = 0$ **then**
        $C_1 := (J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ z^2 - \frac{9}{2},\ (-\infty,0))$
        $C_2 := (J_{10}^-,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 - xy^4,\ z,\ [0,0])$
        $C_3 := (J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ z^2 - \frac{9}{2},\ (0,\infty))$
    else
        $\varepsilon := 1$
        do
            replace ε by a rational number in the interval $(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2})$
            let $(z_1,\ldots,z_4) \in \mathbb{Q}^4$ be approximations of the four distinct real roots of p^+ in increasing order with error smaller than ε
            $(I_1,\ldots,I_4) := ((z_1-\varepsilon, z_1+\varepsilon),\ldots,(z_4-\varepsilon, z_4+\varepsilon))$
        while p^+ has more than one real root in any of the intervals $I_1,\ldots,I_4$
        if $e > 0$ **then**
            $C_1 := (J_{10}^-,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 - xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-\infty,0)}(p^-),\ (-\infty,0))$
            $C_2 := (J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_1}(p^+),\ I_1)$
            $C_3 := (J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_3}(p^+),\ I_3)$
        else
            $C_1 := (J_{10}^-,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 - xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{(0,\infty)}(p^-),\ (0,\infty))$
            $C_2 := (J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_2}(p^+),\ I_2)$
            $C_3 := (J_{10}^+,\ x^3 + ax^2y^2 + xy^4,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_4}(p^+),\ I_4)$
    return $C_1,\ C_2,\ C_3$

than the number of admissible values for the parameter. This is due to the fact that $p^{(\sigma)}$ also takes into account complex transformations. In other words, for some of the real roots of $p^{(\sigma)}$, there is no real transformation which takes f to the respective normal form equation where the value of the parameter is that root.

We use the following method to solve this problem. Considering, again, Theorem 29 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), one may observe that the real roots of $p^{(\sigma)}$ lie in fixed disjoint intervals. In the case (A), for example, the polynomial p^+ has exactly one real root in each of the intervals $(-2,0)$, $(0,2)$, $(2,6)$, and $(6,\infty)$ (and two more in $(-\infty,-6)$ and $(-6,-2)$, which are not admissible values for the parameter in this case), or it has two double roots at 0 and 6 (and one more double root at -6, which we do not consider either). According to that theorem, the two roots which are admissible values for the parameter are either those in $[0,2)$ and $(2,6]$ or those in $(-2,0)$ and $(6,\infty)$.

Using the techniques from Section 5.3 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), we set up, for a generic parameter a, the ideal of transformations which take f to the normal form equation with this parameter. Note that a real point in the vanishing set of this ideal corresponds to a real transformation of f. We can thus determine whether there exists a real transformation which maps f to a normal form equation where the parameter lies in a specific interval by applying Algorithm 12.8 from Basu et al. (2008). Continuing with case (A), if there exists a real transformation for the real root of p^+ in $[0,2)$, then the two admissible values of the parameter are given by this root and the one in $(2,6]$, otherwise they are given by the two roots of p^+ in $(-2,0)$ and $(6,\infty)$, see lines 9 - 14 in Algorithm 8. The cases (B), (C), and (D) are treated in an analogous way.

6. Hyperbolic Singularities

In this section, we give details on the application of the general algorithm (Algorithm 5) for the hyperbolic singularities. See Figure 5 for these cases.



Figure 5. Hyperbolic Singularities

6.1. **An example for the general algorithm.** In Algorithm 9 we demonstrate the general algorithm in the cases X_{9+k}. Note that the Milnor number μ of the input polynomial f can be easily computed, using Gröbner basis techniques, and that $k = \mu - 9$, see Arnold et al. (1985). Also note that $E_5 \cap E_{2\mu-9}^{(\mu-7,2)}$ is the vector space generated by all monomials above the Newton polygon. In line 12 we use that if the exponent of the $y^{k+4} = y^{\mu-5}$ term is odd, there are two

Algorithm 8 Algorithm for the case X_9

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of complex singularity type $T = X_9$

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

$f := \mathrm{jet}(f,4)$

make sure that the coefficient of x^4 in f is non-zero by applying either $(x,y) \mapsto (y,x)$, $(x,y) \mapsto (x,x+y)$, $(x,y) \mapsto (x,2x+y)$, or $(x,y) \mapsto (x,3x+y)$ to f if necessary

apply $x \mapsto x - \frac{\mathrm{coeff}(f,x^3y)}{\mathrm{coeff}(f,x^4)}y,\ y \mapsto y$ to f

write f as $f = bx^4 + cx^2y^2 + dxy^3 + ey^4$ with $b,c,d,e \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} p^{(\sigma)} := {} & \left(-256b^3e^3 + 128b^2c^2e^2 - 144b^2cd^2e + 27b^2d^4 - 16bc^4e + 4bc^3d^2\right) \cdot z^6 \\ & + \sigma\left(18432b^3e^3 + 11520b^2c^2e^2 - 5184b^2cd^2e + 972b^2d^4 + 144bc^3d^2 + 16c^6\right) \cdot z^4 \\ & + \left(-331776b^3e^3 - 62208b^2cd^2e + 11664b^2d^4 - 11520bc^4e + 1728bc^3d^2 - 128c^6\right) \cdot z^2 \\ & + \sigma\left(331776b^2c^2e^2 - 248832b^2cd^2e + 46656b^2d^4 - 18432bc^4e + 6912bc^3d^2 + 256c^6\right) \\ & \in \mathbb{Q}[z] \end{aligned}$$

let r be the number of real roots of $f(x,1) = bx^4 + cx^2 + dx + e$

if $r = 0$ **then**
  if $b > 0$ **then**
    if $f \sim +x^4 + ax^2y^2 + y^4$ for some $a \in [0,2)$ **then**
      $I_1 := [0,2),\ I_2 := (2,6]$
    else
      $I_1 := (-2,0),\ I_2 := (6,\infty)$
    $C_1 := \left(X_9^{++},\ +x^4 + ax^2y^2 + y^4,\ m_{I_1}(p^+),\ I_1\right)$
    $C_2 := \left(X_9^{++},\ +x^4 + ax^2y^2 + y^4,\ m_{I_2}(p^+),\ I_2\right)$
  else
    if $f \sim -x^4 + ax^2y^2 - y^4$ for some $a \in (-2,0]$ **then**
      $I_1 := [-6,-2),\ I_2 := (-2,0]$
    else
      $I_1 := (-\infty,-6),\ I_2 := (0,2)$
    $C_1 := \left(X_9^{--},\ -x^4 + ax^2y^2 - y^4,\ m_{I_1}(p^+),\ I_1\right)$
    $C_2 := \left(X_9^{--},\ -x^4 + ax^2y^2 - y^4,\ m_{I_2}(p^+),\ I_2\right)$

if $r = 2$ **then**
  if $f \sim +x^4 + ax^2y^2 - y^4$ for some $a \in (-\infty,0]$ **then**
    $I := (-\infty,0]$
  else
    $I := (0,\infty)$
  $C_1 := \left(X_9^{+-},\ +x^4 + ax^2y^2 - y^4,\ m_I(p^-),\ I\right)$
  $C_2 := \left(X_9^{-+},\ -x^4 + ax^2y^2 + y^4,\ m_I(p^-),\ I\right)$

if $r = 4$ **then**
  if $f \sim +x^4 + ax^2y^2 + y^4$ for some $a \in (-\infty,-6]$ **then**
    $I_1 := (-\infty,-6],\ I_2 = (2,6]$
  else
    $I_1 := (-6,-2),\ I_2 = (6,\infty)$
  $C_1 := \left(X_9^{++},\ +x^4 + ax^2y^2 + y^4,\ m_{I_1}(p^+),\ I_1\right)$
  $C_2 := \left(X_9^{--},\ -x^4 + ax^2y^2 - y^4,\ m_{I_2}(p^+),\ I_2\right)$

return C_1, C_2

possible normal form equations, which can be obtained from each other by the transformation $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto -y$. In the algorithm we use the following notation.

Notation 25. If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then we define λI as the set

$$\lambda I := \{\lambda x \mid x \in I\}.$$

The cases J_{10+k} can be handled in a similar manner.

Algorithm 9 Algorithm for the case X_{9+k}

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of complex singularity type $T = X_{9+k}$

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

II. Eliminate the monomials in $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ *underneath or on* $\Gamma(T)$

1: apply Algorithm 2 to f

Use Algorithm 4 iteratively:

2: $\mu := 9 + k$
3: $c := \operatorname{coeff}(f, x^2y^2)$
4: **for** $i = 4 \ldots, \left\lfloor \frac{\mu-3}{2} \right\rfloor$ **do**
5: $\quad t := \operatorname{coeff}(f, xy^i)$
6: $\quad$ apply $x \mapsto x - \frac{t}{2c} y^{i-2}$, $y \mapsto y$ to f

III. and IV. Discard the monomials above $\Gamma(T)$ *and read off the desired information:*

7: write f as $f = b_0x^4 + b_1x^2y^2 + b_2y^{\mu-5}$ with $b_0, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $R \in E_5 \cap E_{2\mu-9}^{(\mu-7,2)}$
8: $T_{\mathbb{R}} := X_{9+k}^{\operatorname{sign}(b_0),\ \operatorname{sign}(b_1)}$
9: $F := \operatorname{sign}(b_0) \cdot x^4 + \operatorname{sign}(b_1) \cdot x^2y^2 + ay^{\mu-5}$
10: $p := z^4 - b_2^4 \left(\frac{|b_0|}{b_1^2}\right)^{\mu-5}$
11: $I_1 := \operatorname{sign}(b_2) \cdot (0, \infty)$, $I_2 := \operatorname{sign}(b_2) \cdot (-\infty, 0)$
12: **if** μ is odd **then**
13: $\quad$ **return** $(T_{\mathbb{R}},\ F,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_1}(p),\ I_1)$
14: **else**
15: $\quad$ **return** $(T_{\mathbb{R}},\ F,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_1}(p),\ I_1),\ (T_{\mathbb{R}},\ F,\ \mathrm{m}_{I_2}(p),\ I_2)$

6.2. **The cases $Y_{r,s}$ and $\widetilde{Y}_r$.** Recall from Section 3 that the complex type $Y_{r,r}$ splits up into the real main types $\widetilde{Y}_r$ and $Y_{r,r}$. Similar to the case D_4 treated in Marais and Steenpaß (2015a), we separate the case $\widetilde{Y}_r$ from the remaining cases using Proposition 8 from Marais and Steenpaß (2015a) and real root counting via Sturm chains. The 4-jet of functions of real type $Y_{r,s}$ has four real roots (counted with multiplicity), while the 4-jet of functions of real type $\widetilde{Y}_r$ does not have any real roots. By the following lemma, if the given polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ is of real main type $Y_{r,s}$ with $r \neq s$, then the 4-jet of f always factors into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$. Hence we can follow the general algorithm without any modification. The same may happen if f is of real main type $Y_{r,r}$. However, if f is of real main type $Y_{r,r}$ and the 4-jet of f does not factor into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$, line 3 of Algorithm 5 requires a real algebraic field extension. Similarly, if f is of real main type $\widetilde{Y}_r$, line 3 requires a complex field extension. See the discussion after the lemma on how to handle this problem.

Lemma 26. *Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ be of real main type $Y_{r,s}$. If the 4-jet of f does not factorize into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$, then $r = s$, that is, f is of real main type $Y_{r,r}$.*

Proof. Let f be of real main type $Y_{r,s}$ for some $r, s > 4$. Then f is of the form

$$f = (a_0x + a_1y)^2(b_0x + b_1y)^2 + \text{ higher terms in } x \text{ and } y$$

where $(a_0, a_1), (b_0, b_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are linearly independent. Hence, the strict transform of the blow-up of f at the origin intersects the exceptional divisor in exactly two points.

Without loss of generality, we consider the chart where $x = 0$ is the local equation of the exceptional divisor. Then the strict transform is given by

$$\tilde{f} = (a_0 + a_1y)^2(b_0 + b_1y)^2 + \text{ terms that are divisible by } x.$$

Therefore, the intersection points of the strict transform with the exceptional divisor $\{x = 0\}$ correspond to the zeros of the rational polynomial $p^2 = (a_0 + a_1y)^2(b_0 + b_1y)^2$. Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a perfect field and $p^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[y]$, it follows that $p = (a_0 + a_1y)(b_0 + b_1y) \in \mathbb{Q}[y]$.

Now consider the standard charts where $x = 0$ and $y = 0$, respectively, define the exceptional divisor. We show that if the strict transform has an irrational point on the exceptional divisor E in any of the two charts, then in both charts it has two irrational points on E. Indeed, if $a_1 = 0$ or $b_1 = 0$, then p has only rational roots. Hence, by the assumption that the strict transform has an irrational point on E, we have $a_1 \neq 0$ and $b_1 \neq 0$, and at least one of the two roots $-\frac{a_0}{a_1}$, $-\frac{b_0}{b_1}$ is irrational. Since p is the minimal polynomial for these roots, we conclude that both $-\frac{a_0}{a_1}$ and $-\frac{b_0}{b_1}$ are irrational.

Note that these roots correspond to the irrational points $(0, -\frac{a_0}{a_1})$ and $(0, -\frac{b_0}{b_1})$ of the strict transform on E. Hence, the points of the strict transform on the exceptional divisor are irrational if and only if p does not have any rational root. This, in turn, is the case if and only if $\operatorname{jet}(f, 4) = (a_0x + a_1y)^2(b_0x + b_1y)^2$ does not factorize into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Thus, under the assumptions of the lemma, both roots of p and both points of the strict transform on the exceptional divisor are irrational. Consider the blow-up of the normal form equation of f at the origin. The germs at the two intersection points q_1 and q_2 of the strict transform with the exceptional divisor are right equivalent to $f_1 = \pm x^2 \pm y^{r-4}$ and $f_2 = \pm x^2 \pm y^{s-4}$. Since any right equivalence of a singularity induces right equivalences for each germ of the strict transform, the singularities of the strict transform of f are also right equivalent to f_1 and f_2.

The Galois group of the quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}[y]/p =: K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Let κ be the non-trivial element in this group, and let φ_1 and φ_2 be the K-algebra automorphisms which transform the germs at q_1 and q_2 to f_1 and f_2, respectively. Then q_1 and q_2 are conjugate via κ, and we have $\kappa^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 \circ \kappa = \kappa(\varphi_1) = \varphi_2$ as K-algebra automorphisms because both $\widetilde{f}$ and f_1 are invariant under $\kappa = \kappa^{-1}$. In other words, φ_1 and φ_2 can be identified with each other by the group action of $\operatorname{Gal}(K|\,\mathbb{Q})$ on $\operatorname{Aut}(K)$. Also note that the map $\kappa^{-1} \circ \varphi_1 \circ \kappa$ can be extended to an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorphism because its restriction to K is just the identity, and note that the germ at q_2 is right equivalent to f_1 via this map. Hence $f_1 \sim f_2$ and thus $r = s$.

□

We now discuss an explicit version of Algorithm 5 which works for all rational input polynomials $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ of complex type $Y_{r,s}$. The framework is given in Algorithm 10. We realize Step (II) of the general algorithm in Algorithm 11 and Step (IV) in Algorithms 12 and 13, corresponding to the real main types $Y_{r,s}$ and $\widetilde{Y}_r$, respectively.

Suppose f is of real main type $Y_{r,s}$. Algorithm 11 determines a normal form equation of f over $\mathbb{Q}$ or over a simple real algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. From this normal form equation, Algorithm 12 computes a linear or quadratic minimal polynomial for the moduli parameter. Using the original input polynomial if necessary, the algorithm then determines which of the roots of the minimal polynomial are valid moduli parameters and computes the corresponding real subtypes.

Now assume f is of real main type $\widetilde{Y}_r$. In this case, to determine the normal form equation, Algorithm 11 requires a simple complex, non-real algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. From this normal form equation, Algorithm 13 computes a minimal polynomial for all complex moduli parameters.

Algorithm 10 Algorithm for the cases $Y_{r,s}$

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of complex singularity type $T = Y_{r,s}$

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

1: $f_0 := f$

II. Eliminate the monomials in $\mathrm{supp}(f)$ *underneath* $\Gamma(T)$

2: replace f by the output of Algorithm 11 applied to f

III. Eliminate the monomials above $\Gamma(T)$

3: $f := w\text{-jet}(f, d_w)$ with $w := w(T)$ and $d_w := d(T)$

IV. Read off the desired information

4: $h := \mathrm{jet}(f_0, 4)$
5: **if** $h(1,y)$ has at least one real root **then**
6: **return** output of Algorithm 12 applied to f_0 and f
7: **else**
8: **return** output of Algorithm 13 applied to f_0 and f

Algorithm 11 Step (II) in the general algorithm for the cases $Y_{r,s}$

Input: $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of complex singularity type $T = Y_{r,s}$

Output: $f' \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset K[x,y]$, where K is $\mathbb{Q}$ or a quadratic extension field of $\mathbb{Q}$, such that $f \overset{K}{\sim} f'$ and f' has no terms underneath $\Gamma(T)$

1: $h := \mathrm{jet}(f, 4)$
2: $b := \mathrm{coeff}(f, x^2y^2)$

Implement line 3 from Algorithm 5:

3: **if** h has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$ **then**
4: factorize h as $bg_1^2g_2^2$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, where g_1, g_2 are homogeneous of degree 1
5: apply $g_1 \mapsto x$, $g_2 \mapsto y$ to f
6: **else**
7: factorize h as bg^2 over $\mathbb{Q}$, where g is homogeneous of degree 2
8: $K := \mathbb{Q}[t]/g(1,t)$
9: over K, factorize h as $bg_1^2g_2^2$, where g_1, g_2 are homogeneous of degree 1
10: apply $g_1 \mapsto x$, $g_2 \mapsto y$ to f

Implement lines 13 - 15 from Algorithm 5:

11: $n_1 := 4$, $n_2 := 4$
12: **while** $\mathrm{coeff}(f, x^{n_1}) = 0$ or $\mathrm{coeff}(f, y^{n_2}) = 0$ **do**
13: **if** $\mathrm{coeff}(f, x^{n_1}) = 0$ **then**
14: $n_1 := n_1 + 1$
15: apply $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto y - \frac{\mathrm{coeff}(f, x^{n_1}y)}{b} x^{n_1-2}$ to f
16: **if** $\mathrm{coeff}(f, y^{n_2}) = 0$ **then**
17: $n_2 := n_2 + 1$
18: apply $x \mapsto x - \frac{\mathrm{coeff}(f, xy^{n_2})}{b} y^{n_2-2}$, $y \mapsto y$ to f
19: **return** $f' := f$

According to Theorem 35 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), only two of the roots of the minimal polynomial are real, and one is the negative of the other. As shown in Section 5.4 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), both real roots are valid values for the parameter if r is odd; if r is even, then only one of the two values is valid. As above, the original input polynomial is used to determine

which of the roots of the minimal polynomial are valid moduli parameters and to compute the corresponding real subtypes.

We now give a detailed exposition of Algorithms 11 to 13. We set $f_0 := f$ to keep track of the real equivalence class, and change f in the course of the process, possibly using real or complex tranformations. For $h := \mathrm{jet}(f_0, 4)$, Algorithm 11 considers the following two cases:

1. $h(1, y)$ has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$:

   This case is handled in lines 3 - 5 and 11 - 18 of Algorithm 11. It includes all singularities of real main type $Y_{r,s}$ with $r \neq s$ and those of type $Y_{r,r}$ for which the 4-jet factorizes into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$. We exactly follow the general algorithm.

2. $h(1, y)$ does not have a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$:

   This case is handled in lines 6 - 18 of Algorithm 11. It includes all singularities of real main type $\widetilde{Y}_r$ and those of type $Y_{r,r}$ for which the 4-jet does not factorize into linear factors over $\mathbb{Q}$. We first discuss lines 6 - 10. Over the reals, $\mathrm{jet}(f, 4)$ factorizes as $g_1^2 g_2^2$, with g_1 and g_2 non-associate and homogeneous of degree 1. Since $\mathbb{Q}$ is a perfect field, we have $g_1 g_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$. Since $g_1, g_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$, the polynomial $g_1 g_2(1, y)$ is irreducible. Passing to the extension field $K = \mathbb{Q}[y]/g_1 g_2(1, y)$, we apply the automorphism $g_1 \mapsto x$, $g_2 \mapsto y$ to f. Lines 10 - 18 of Algorithm 11 follow the general algorithm, using transformations over K to find a polynomial which is right equivalent to f and of the form

   $$bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^s + R \text{ with } b \in \mathbb{Q},\ d, e \in K, \text{ and } R \in E_{n_1+1}^{(n_1-2,2)} \cap E_{n_1+1}^{(2,n_1-2)}.$$

If f_0 is of real main type $Y_{r,s}$, we now pass to Algorithm 12, which considers the following two cases:

1. $h(1, y)$ has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$:

   This case is handled in lines 2 - 29 of Algorithm 12, which follow the general algorithm. We use Theorem 33 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b) to determine the possible normal form equations, depending on whether r and s are even or odd, respectively.

2. $h(1, y)$ does not have a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$:

   This case is handled in lines 30 - 48 of Algorithm 12. Note that the input polynomial f is an element of $K[x, y]$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}[t]$ is a real quadratic number field, where $t = \sqrt{D}$ for some positive discriminant $D \in \mathbb{Q}$. Furthermore, note that f is of the form

   $$f = bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^r \text{ with } b \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } d, e \in K,$$

   and let $\sigma = \mathrm{sign}(b)$.

   The case where r is odd is handled in lines 33 - 37. In this case, f is right equivalent to both the types $Y_{r,r}^{\sigma\pm}$, taking into account the transformation $x \mapsto -x$ and $y \mapsto y$. By Theorem 33 from Marais and Steenpaß (2015b) there are only two possible values for the parameter, which are both roots of the polynomial $z^2 - |b|^{-r}(de)^2$. If a is a possible value for the parameter, then so is $-a$ by the transformation $x \mapsto x$, $y \mapsto -y$. On the other hand, suppose φ is an automorphism which transforms f_0 to a normal form equation with parameter $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 t$, and let κ be the conjugation on K. Then $\kappa \circ \varphi \circ \kappa^{-1}$ is also an automorphism and transforms f_0 to the same normal form equation with the parameter replaced by $\kappa(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 t) = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 t$. Hence, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 t = -(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 t)$ or $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 t = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 t$. Thus, $\lambda_1 = 0$ or $\lambda_2 = 0$, and the square of the parameter is a rational number. Therefore, $z^2 - |b|^{-r}(de)^2$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients.

   Consider now the case where r is even. We either have $a = +|b|^{\frac{r}{2}} de$ or $a = -|b|^{\frac{r}{2}} de$. By Theorem 33 of Marais and Steenpaß (2015b) the parameter a is uniquely determined. Hence, by a similar argument as above, $|b|^{-\frac{r}{2}} a$ and thus de is invariant under conjugation, and we conclude that $de \in \mathbb{Q}$.

   The case where r is even and $de < 0$ is handled in lines 39 - 43. Taking the transformation $x \mapsto y$, $y \mapsto x$ into account, f is both of type $Y_{r,r}^{\sigma+}$ with $a = |b|^{\frac{r}{2}} de$, and of type $Y_{r,r}^{\sigma-}$ with $a = -|b|^{\frac{r}{2}} de$.

Algorithm 12 Step (IV) in the general algorithm for the real main types $Y_{r,s}$

Input: $f_0 \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x,y]$ of real singularity type $Y_{r,s}$ and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset K[x,y]$, where K is a real quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, such that f is of complex singularity type $T = Y_{r,s}$, $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(T)$, and $f \overset{K}{\sim} f_0$

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f_0, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

$h := \operatorname{jet}(f_0, 4)$
if h has a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$ **then**
  write f as $f = bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^s$ with $b, d, e \in \mathbb{Q}^*$
  if r is odd and s is even **then**
    apply $x \mapsto y$, $y \mapsto x$ to f
    write f as $f = bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^s$ with $b, d, e \in \mathbb{Q}^*$
  $p := z^{2r} - |b|^{-rs}d^{2s}e^{2r}$, $\tilde{p} := z^{2s} - |b|^{-rs}d^{2s}e^{2r} \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$
  $\sigma_1 := \operatorname{sign}(b)$, $\sigma_2 := \operatorname{sign}(d)$, $\sigma_3 := \operatorname{sign}(e)$, $I := (0, \infty)$
  if r and s are even **then**
    $C_1 := (Y_{r,s}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2},\ \sigma_1x^2y^2 + \sigma_2x^r + ay^s,\ \mathrm{m}_{\sigma_3 \cdot I}(p),\ \sigma_3 \cdot I)$
    $C_2 := (Y_{s,r}^{\sigma_1\sigma_3},\ \sigma_1x^2y^2 + \sigma_3x^s + ay^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{\sigma_2 \cdot I}(\tilde{p}),\ \sigma_2 \cdot I)$
    if $r = s$ and $\sigma_2 = \sigma_3$ **then**
      return C_1
    else
      return C_1, C_2
  if r is even and s is odd **then**
    for $i = 1, 2$ **do**
      $C_i := (Y_{r,s}^{\sigma_1\sigma_2},\ \sigma_1x^2y^2 + \sigma_2x^r + ay^s,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-1)^i \cdot I}(p),\ (-1)^i \cdot I)$
      $C_{i+2} := (Y_{s,r}^{\sigma_1\,(-1)^i},\ \sigma_1x^2y^2 + (-1)^ix^s + ay^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{\sigma_2 \cdot I}(\tilde{p}),\ \sigma_2 \cdot I)$
    return C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4
  if r and s are odd **then**
    for $i = 1, 2$ **do**
      for $j = 1, 2$ **do**
        $C_{2i+j-2} := (Y_{r,s}^{\sigma_1\,(-1)^i},\ \sigma_1x^2y^2 + (-1)^ix^r + ay^s,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-1)^j \cdot I}(p),\ (-1)^j \cdot I)$
        $C_{2i+j+2} := (Y_{s,r}^{\sigma_1\,(-1)^i},\ \sigma_1x^2y^2 + (-1)^ix^s + ay^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-1)^j \cdot I}(\tilde{p}),\ (-1)^j \cdot I)$
    if $r = s$ **then**
      return C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4
    else
      return C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7, C_8
else
  write f as $f = bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^r$ with $b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $d, e \in K^*$
  $\sigma := \operatorname{sign}(b)$, $I := (0, \infty)$
  if r is odd **then**
    $p := z^2 - |b|^{-r}(de)^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$
    for $i = 1, 2$ **do**
      $C_i := (Y_{r,r}^{\sigma+},\ \sigma x^2y^2 + x^r + ay^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{(-1)^i \cdot I}(p),\ (-1)^i \cdot I)$
      $C_{i+2} := (Y_{r,r}^{\sigma-},\ \sigma x^2y^2 - x^r + ay^r, \mathrm{m}_{(-1)^i \cdot I}(p),\ (-1)^i \cdot I)$
    return C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4

39: **else**
40: **if** $de < 0$ **then**
41: **for** $i = 1, 2$ **do**
42: $C_i := (Y_{r,r}^{\sigma\,(-1)^i},\ \sigma x^2y^2 + (-1)^i x^r + ay^r,\ z - (-1)^i|b|^{\frac{-r}{2}}de,\ (-\infty, \infty))$
43: **return** C_1, C_2
44: **else**
45: **if** $\sigma + f_0(x, 0)$ has a real root **then**
46: **return** $(Y_{r,r}^{\sigma(-\sigma)},\ \sigma x^2y^2 - \sigma x^r + ay^r,\ z + \sigma|b|^{\frac{-r}{2}}de,\ (-\infty, \infty))$
47: **else**
48: **return** $(Y_{r,r}^{\sigma\sigma},\ \sigma x^2y^2 + \sigma x^r + ay^r,\ z - \sigma|b|^{\frac{-r}{2}}de,\ (-\infty, \infty))$

Algorithm 13 Step (IV) in the general algorithm for the real main types $\widetilde{Y}_r$

Input: $f_0 \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ of real singularity type $\widetilde{Y}_r$ and $f \in \mathfrak{m}^3 \subset K[x, y]$, where K is a complex quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, such that f is of complex singularity type $T = Y_{r,r}$, $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \operatorname{supp}(T)$, and $f \overset{K}{\sim} f_0$

Output: all normal form equations in the right equivalence class of f_0, each specified as a tuple of the real singularity type, the normal form, the minimal polynomial of the parameter, and an interval such that the parameter is the unique root of the minimal polynomial in this interval

1: write f as $f = bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^r$ with $b \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ and $d, e \in K^*$
2: $\sigma := \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{coeff}(f_0, x^4))$, $I := (0, \infty)$
3: **if** r is odd **then**
4: $p := z^8 - (de)^4(4^2b^{-1})^{2r} \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$
5: **return** $(\widetilde{Y}_r^{\sigma},\ \sigma(x^2 + y^2)^2 + ax^r,\ \mathrm{m}_I(p),\ I)$, $(\widetilde{Y}_r^{\sigma},\ \sigma(x^2 + y^2)^2 + ax^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{-I}(p),\ -I)$
6: **else**
7: $p := z^4 - (de)^2(4b^{-1})^r \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$
8: **if** $\sigma + f_0(x, 0)$ or $\sigma + f_0(0, y)$ has a real root **then**
9: **return** $(\widetilde{Y}_r^{\sigma},\ \sigma(x^2 + y^2)^2 + ax^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{-\sigma\cdot I}(p),\ -\sigma \cdot I)$
10: **else**
11: **return** $(\widetilde{Y}_r^{\sigma},\ \sigma(x^2 + y^2)^2 + ax^r,\ \mathrm{m}_{\sigma\cdot I}(p),\ \sigma \cdot I)$

The case where r is even and $de > 0$ is handled in lines 44 - 48. Since $de > 0$, the coefficient of x^r and the parameter a in the normal form equation have the same sign. Note that the function $(x, y) \mapsto \sigma \cdot (1 + x^2y^2 - x^r - |a|y^r)$ takes positive and negative values on all lines through the origin, whereas the function $(x, y) \mapsto \sigma \cdot (1 + x^2y^2 + x^r + |a|y^r)$ either takes only positive or only negative values. Since this behaviour is invariant under right-equivalence, we can determine the real subtype as follows: If the function $\sigma + f_0(x, 0)$ has a real root, then f is of type $Y_{r,r}^{\sigma(-\sigma)}$ with $a = -\sigma|b|^{\frac{r}{2}}de$. Otherwise f is of type $Y_{r,r}^{\sigma\sigma}$ with $a = \sigma|b|^{\frac{r}{2}}de$.

Algorithm 13 handles the cases of real main type $\widetilde{Y}_r$. In this case, K is a complex quadratic number field. Again, f is of the form

$$f = bx^2y^2 + dx^r + ey^r \text{ with } b \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } d, e \in K.$$

Note that the coefficient of x^4 in f_0 must be nonzero, since f_0 would be of the real main type $Y_{r,r}$ otherwise. The real subtype of f_0 is determined by the sign σ of this coefficient. We now determine the possible values for the parameter a.

If r is odd, then taking the transformation $x \mapsto -x$, $y \mapsto y$ into account, there are exactly two possible values for the parameter, where one is the negative of the other, see Theorem 33 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b). Using the Figure 1 from Marais and Steenpaß (2015b) and taking

into account that $Y^{+,\pm}_{r,r}$ is complex equivalent to $Y^{-,\pm}_{r,r}$, see Table 7 in Marais and Steenpaß (2015b), the complex parameter is determined up to multiplication with a 4th root of unity. Hence, the two possible values of the parameter correspond to the unique positive and the unique negative root of $z^8 - (de)^4(4^2b^{-1})^{2r} \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$.

In a similar way, if r is even, the parameter a is uniquely determined. Since the complex parameter is determined up to multiplication with a 2nd root of unity, we observe that the parameter is one of the two real roots of $z^4 - (de)^2(4b^{-1})^r \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$. We now determine the sign of a. Note that the function $(x, y) \mapsto \sigma \cdot (1 + (x^2 + y^2)^2 - |a|x^r)$ takes positive and negative values on all except one line through the origin, whereas the function $(x, y) \mapsto \sigma \cdot (1 + (x^2 + y^2)^2 + |a|x^r)$ takes only positive or only negative values. Hence, we can determine the sign of a as follows: Let r_1 and r_2 be the number of real roots of $\sigma + f_0(x, 0)$ and $\sigma + f_0(0, y)$, respectively. If any of the r_i is positive, then $\sigma \cdot a < 0$, otherwise $\sigma \cdot a > 0$.

References

Arnold, V.I., 1974. Normal forms of functions in neighbourhoods of degenerate critical points. Russ. Math. Surv. 29(2), 10-50.

Arnold, V.I., Gusein-Zade, S.M., Varchenko, A.N., 1985. Singularities of Differential Maps, Vol. I. Birkhäuser, Boston.

Basu, S., Pollack, R., Roy, M.-F., 2008. Algorithms in Real Algebraic Geometry. Springer, Berlin.

Decker, W., Greuel, G.-M., Pfister, G., Schönemann, H., 2015. SINGULAR 4-0-2 – A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. `http://www.singular.uni-kl.de`

de Jong, T., Pfister, G., 2000. Local Analytic Geometry. Vieweg, Braunschweig.

Greuel, G.-M., Lossen, C., Shustin, E., 2007. Introduction to Singularities and Deformations. Springer, Berlin.

Greuel, G.-M., Pfister, G., 2008. A Singular Introduction to Commutative Algebra, second ed. Springer, Berlin.

Krüger, K., 2012. `classify.lib`. A SINGULAR 4-0-2 library for classifying isolated hypersurface singularities w.r.t. right equivalence, based on the determinator of singularities by V.I. Arnold. SINGULAR distribution.

Marais, M., Steenpaß, A., 2013. `realclassify.lib`. A SINGULAR 4-0-2 library for classifying isolated hypersurface singularities over the reals w.r.t. right equivalence. SINGULAR distribution.

Marais, M., Steenpaß, A., 2015a. The classification of real singularities using SINGULAR Part I: Splitting Lemma and Simple Singularities. J. Symb. Comput. 68 (2015), 61-71.

Marais, M., Steenpaß, A., 2015b. The classification of real singularities using SINGULAR Part II: The Structure of the Equivalence Classes of the Unimodal Singularities. Symb. Comput. (2015).

Tobis, E., 2012. `rootsur.lib`. A SINGULAR 4-0-2 library for counting the number of real roots of a univariate polynomial.

Wenk, M., Winfried, P., 1999. `solve.lib`. A SINGULAR 4-0-2 library for complex solving of polynomial systems.

JANKO BOEHM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN, ERWIN-SCHRÖDINGER-STR., 67663 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `boehm@mathematik.uni-kl.de`

MAGDALEEN S. MARAIS, UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA AND AFRICAN INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, PRIVATE BAG X20, HATFIELD 0028, SOUTH AFRICA

E-mail address: `magdaleen.marais@up.ac.za`

ANDREAS STEENPASS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KAISERSLAUTERN, ERWIN-SCHRÖDINGER-STR., 67663 KAISERSLAUTERN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `steenpass@mathematik.uni-kl.de`